ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

DOCKET NOS. 02-0798/03-0008/03-0009 (Consolidated)

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

THOMAS G. OPICH

Submitted On Behalf Of

CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY,

d/b/a AmerenCIPS,

and

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY,

d/b/a AmerenUE

June 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Post-Test Year Capital Additions	2
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	
Uncollectible Expenses	4
Pensions and Benefits and Voluntary Retirement Program	6
Payroll Increases	8
Incentive Compensation	8
Rate Case Expenses	9
Outside Services Expense	10
Advertising Expense	11
Meter Reading Expense	12
Customer Records and Collection Expenses	13
Income Taxes	13

1		ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2		DOCKET NOS. 02-0798/03-0008/03-0009 (Consolidated)
3		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
4		THOMAS G. OPICH
5		SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF
6		CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
7		d/b/a AmerenCIPS
8		and
9		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY
10		d/b/a AmerenUE
11	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
12	A.	My name is Thomas G. Opich. My business address is One Ameren
13	Plaza, 1901	Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
14	Q.	Are you the same Thomas G. Opich who filed direct and rebuttal
15	testimony in	this proceeding?
16	A.	Yes, I am.
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
18	A.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to address issues raised by the
19	rebuttal testi	mony of Illinois Commerce Commission Staff ("ICC Staff" or "Staff")
20	witnesses Bu	rma C. Jones, Eric Lounsberry and Theresa Ebrey, along with issues raised
21	by David J. I	Effron, who filed testimony on behalf of The People of the State of Illinois
22	by the Attorr	ney General ("AG").

23	Q.	What issues do you plan to discuss in your surrebuttal testimony?
24	A.	I will address the following issues in my surrebuttal testimony:
25		 Post-Test Year Capital Additions;
26		Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes;
27		Uncollectible Expenses;
28		Adjustments Associated with the Voluntary Retirement Plan
29		("VRP") and Pension and Benefits Expenses;
30		Payroll Increases;
31		■ Incentive Compensation;
32		Rate Case Expenses;
33		Outside Services Expense;
34		Advertising Expense;
35		Meter Reading Expense;
36		Customer Records and Collection Expenses; and
37		■ Income Taxes.
38	Post-Test Ye	ar Capital Additions
39	Q.	In his rebuttal testimony, AG witness Effron again proposes to
40	eliminate po	st-test year test capital additions. Do you agree with this adjustment?
41	A.	No, I do not. Mr. Effron continues to suggest that the Illinois Commerce
42	Commission	("Commission" or "ICC") ignore its own rules that permit a utility to
43	propose pro f	forma adjustments to an historical test year for significant known and
44	measurable cl	hanges occurring within 12 months from the filing date of the new tariffs.
45	Mr. Effron su	aggests in his rebuttal testimony on page 3, that Central Illinois Public

46 Service Company, d/b/a AmerenCIPS ("AmerenCIPS"), and Union Electric Company, 47 d/b/a AmerenUE ("AmerenUE") (collectively referred to as the "Companies" or "Ameren"), are mixing an historical test year with a future test year in this proceeding. 48 49 To the contrary, it is Mr. Effron who is incorrectly treating the Companies' test year as a future test year. In a future test year, all elements of the cost of service are calculated for 50 51 a future period. In an historical test year, an historical period is used, adjusted for significant known and measurable changes, including "significant changes in plant 52 investment," occurring by a certain date. Not every element of the cost of service in an 53 54 historical test year is adjusted. The Companies have adjusted plant investment in rate 55 base to reflect only significant additions, not every addition to plant that has occurred. The Companies have also adjusted depreciation expense and the accumulated reserve 56 balance to include the first year of depreciation on the post-test year additions to plant. 57 58 Mr. Effron's attempt to include an additional year's depreciation expense is tantamount to using future test period data in an historical test year. He treats the rate 59 60 base as if every element must be adjusted to a future date for consistency. If a future test year was used, however, the Companies would be allowed (or even required) to reflect all 61 additional investment, not merely individually significant projects. Here, in contrast, the 62 Companies are limited to including certain significant adjustments. The Commission's 63 rule regarding historical test years neither requires, nor permits the adjustment of the full 64 rate base to a future date. Accordingly, Mr. Effron is confusing a depreciation 65 66 calculation appropriate to a future test year with an appropriate adjustment to an historical test year. 67

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes

68

69

70

71

72

85

86

87

88

- Q. AG witness Effron continues to propose adjustments to accumulated deferred income taxes for certain deferred tax balances related to deferred charges, deferred credits and accrued liabilities that are not recognized in rate base. Do you have any comments?
- 73 A. Yes. As stated in my rebuttal testimony (pages 6 through 8), it has not 74 been the Commission's practice to determine the components of the deferred tax reserve to be deducted on an account-by-account basis. All deferred tax items should be treated 75 76 consistently and, therefore, the entire balance of the reserve for deferred taxes should be 77 deducted from rate base, without selectively including or excluding individual items. Illinois has historically been a "100% normalization" state. What Mr. Effron is proposing 78 is that not all deferred taxes be normalized. Ameren believes that such a radical 79 80 departure from past practice should not be taken in a case involving a single company, but is more appropriately addressed in a generic proceeding involving all utilities. 81 82 Normalization and the related deferred income taxes in total provide a benefit to the ratepayers. Thus, all items of deferred income taxes in total should continue to be 83 84 handled in the same manner. This is the treatment proposed by the Companies.

Uncollectible Expenses

- Q. Staff witness Ebrey and AG witness Effron, in their respective rebuttal testimonies, indicated that they do consider gas costs in calculation of uncollectible expenses. Do you have any comments?
- Yes. The Staff and AG have not truly considered gas costs. What they have done is to use a percentage allocator that, they assert, will capture any increase in

gas costs. This assumes that the percentage is a constant, and does not increase with increases in the cost of gas. Common sense would indicate that as gas prices increase, all other things being equal, defaults increase as well. Company witness Jimmy L. Davis' rebuttal testimony showed that gas prices included in the test year calculation of uncollectible expenses are more representative of gas prices going forward. Therefore, the Commission should reject the proposal to base uncollectible expenses on a five-year average, when gas prices were on average lower than they were in the test year, and lower than they are expected to be when the rates set in this case are in effect.

- Q. Ms. Ebrey suggests in her rebuttal testimony that the Companies are using forecasted information to make an adjustment to uncollectible expense. Do you have any response?
- A. Yes. Contrary to Ms. Ebrey's allegations, the Companies have not made any adjustments to uncollectible expenses using forecasted information. The various economic indicators presented by the Companies in the rebuttal testimony of Company witness Davis and the rebuttal testimony of Company witness Laurie H. Karman substantiate that the current level of uncollectible expense included in the historical test year used by the Companies is more representative than the averages used by the Staff and AG. The Companies do not rely upon these witnesses' rebuttal testimonies to make any kind of adjustment to the test year level of uncollectible expense, but instead to refute arguments made by other parties in support of their adjustments.
- Q. Do you have any additional response to Staff's reliance on the percent of revenue method, and the AG's support for this method?

113	A.	The major flaw with Ms. Ebrey's approach is not so much the use of a									
114	comparison o	of expenses to revenues, but the time period being used, five years. When									
115	using this period of time, given the changing nature of revenues and the sharply										
116	increasing lev	vel of gas costs, the Staff is simply not capturing a representative level of									
117	this expense.										
118	Pensions and	l Benefits and Voluntary Retirement Program									
119	Q.	AG witness Effron uses the actual expenses from the 2002 actuarial									
120	study for his	proposed adjustments to the pension and OPEB expenses. Do you									
121	have any con	mments?									
122	A.	Yes. The Companies have proposed adjustments for pension and OPEB									
123	expenses bas	ed on the updated 2002 actuarial study as stated in the surrebuttal testimony									
124	of Company	witness C. Kenneth Vogl. The impact of the adjustment to AmerenCIPS gas									
125	operations is	to reduce pension and OPEB expenses by \$267,000. The impact on the									
126	AmerenUE I	llinois gas operations will reduce these expenses by \$131,000.									
127	Q.	Staff witness Jones has proposed to disallow costs incurred to									
128	implement t	he VRP. Do you agree with this proposal?									
129	A.	No. Based on the adjustments referred to in my previous response, it is									
130	not appropria	te to exclude the implementation costs of the VRP. Ms. Jones' exclusion of									
131	this adjustme	nt should be rejected. Company witness Vogl will further explain this issue									
132	in his surrebu	attal testimony.									
133	Q.	AG witness Effron continues to assert that a ten year amortization									
134	period is app	propriate for VRP costs. Do you agree?									

135	A. No. Mr. Effron presents no concrete facts to justify a ten year
136	amortization period. Per AG Exhibit 1.0P, page 20, line 15, of his direct testimony,
137	Mr. Effron states: "In these circumstances, I believe that a ten-year amortization period
138	is reasonable". Again, no additional information has been provided to calculate and
139	justify this amortization period, other than the opinion of Mr. Effron. My rebuttal
140	testimony (page 13) explains why the appropriate amortization period is three years.
141	Q. Staff witness Jones proposes to disallow labor costs associated with
142	positions refilled in association with the VRP. Do you have any comments?
143	A. The Companies have determined that 60 positions have been "back-filled"
144	as of June 20, 2003. These employees have actually been hired and started work. This
145	represents labor costs to AmerenCIPS gas operations of \$171,000 and AmerenUE Illinois
146	gas operations of \$22,000. AmerenCIPS/UE Exhibit No. 27.1 attached to this testimony
147	summarizes these adjustments. Requisitions for an additional 16 positions have received
148	final approval from Ameren's senior management and should be filled prior to the
149	issuance of the order in this case. These positions represent additional labor costs to
150	AmerenCIPS gas operations of \$48,000 and AmerenUE Illinois gas operations of \$6,000
151	These adjustments are also included on AmerenCIPS/UE Exhibit No. 27.1. The
152	requisition process for filling vacant positions is discussed in the surrebuttal testimony of
153	Company witness Mark C. Lindgren.
154	Q. Why are the capitalization factors the Companies provided more

Q. Why are the capitalization factors the Companies provided more appropriate than the capitalization ratio Mr. Effron uses based on total test year labor costs?

155

A. The factors provided on CIPS work paper WPC-3.10t and UE work paper WPC-3.10v were determined using the percentage of forecasted labor capitalized to total forecasted labor costs. The percentage for benefits capitalized for each quarter was calculated by taking the estimated expenses for pensions and employee benefits, adjusting each expense category for the cumulative over/under apportionment and dividing into the total estimated labor. This allows for the adjustment of capitalization balances when the forecasted amounts are updated.

Payroll Increases

- Q. Staff witness Jones has removed the Companies' adjustment to wage expense for collective bargaining unit employees. Do you have any comments regarding this adjustment?
- **A.** Contract negotiations between the Companies and collective bargaining unit employees continue at this time. The results of those negotiations should be finalized before the issuance of the order in these proceedings. Therefore, this adjustment should not be removed at this time.

Incentive Compensation

- Q. Do you have any comments regarding incentive compensation in response to Ms. Jones?
- A. Yes. In the rebuttal testimony of Staff witness Jones, page 13, Ms. Jones indicates that the AIP (Ameren Incentive Plan), which is the incentive compensation plan for bargaining unit employees, has been suspended. The Companies agree that the expense associated with this plan should be removed from the respective revenue requirements. For AmerenCIPS gas operations the amount is \$112,000, and for

AmerenUE Illinois gas operations the amount is \$15,000. The incentive compensation associated with management employees of AmerenCIPS, AmerenUE, Ameren Services Company and Ameren Fuels and Services Company will be discussed in the surrebuttal testimonies of Company witnesses Lindgren and David Cross.

Rate Case Expenses

- Q. Have both Staff witness Jones and AG witness Effron proposed a five year amortization period for rate case expenses?
 - **A.** Yes. Both have proposed a five year amortization period.
- 188 Q. Do you agree with this amortization period?
 - **A.** The Companies provided information to show that the climate in which they operate has changed since prior rate proceedings. The five year amortization period does not reflect the current and expected regulatory environment in which regulated gas utilities operate. It is the Companies' contention that a three year amortization period is more appropriate.
 - Q. Do you agree with AG witness Effron's adjustment to divide the rate case costs based on relative size of the Companies?
 - A. No. As stated in my rebuttal testimony (pages 15 and 16), these costs do not fluctuate based on the size of the company. Had only one Ameren company filed for gas rate relief, at a minimum, half of the costs incurred in this case would have occurred. Therefore, it is more appropriate to split rate case costs equally between the Companies.

Outside Services Expense

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

- Q. Do you have any comments regarding the adjustments made by the Staff and the AG for outside services expense?
- **A.** Staff witness Jones has agreed to remove her adjustment for outside services; AG witness Effron continues to include this adjustment.

Mr. Effron indicates that the Companies did not provide documentation showing the level of outside services included on Schedule C-1. This adjustment arises from an incorrect (but subsequently corrected) data request response. The Companies' books are consistent with the amounts reflected on Schedule C-1; there is no mistake in the Companies' books. Account 923 reflects two categories of expense: charges from outside vendors, and certain charges for depreciation, amortization, interest income or expense, and tax expense allocated from Ameren Services Company pursuant to the allocation methodologies and factors approved by the Commission. These are overhead expenses properly charged to the Companies in this test year, as the Staff has recognized. In the test year, the AmerenCIPS outside services expenses in Account 923 totaled \$1,780,000, and allocated overhead costs from Ameren Services Company properly recorded in Account 923 totaled \$1,980,000. A data request response that the Company submitted early in this case misrepresented the Ameren Services overheads as outside services expense. That response was subsequently corrected and the Staff then properly withdrew an adjustment identical to that which Mr. Effron continues to endorse. These costs, although not incurred from outside vendors, are proper costs of AmerenCIPS, and are properly included on Line 2, Column B, Operations and Maintenance Expenses on Schedule C-1 of the Part 285 filing requirements. The ICC has previously included the

- Ameren Services Company expenses charged to Account 923 in rates in AmerenCIPS'

 Delivery Services Tariff, Docket No. 99-0121.
 - **Advertising Expense**

- Q. What is your response to Staff witness Ebrey's adjustment for the Environmental Adjustment Clause advertising expense in the test year?
- A. It is Ms. Ebrey's assertion that advertisements run during the first two
 weeks of July, 2001 for AmerenCIPS' and AmerenUE's Environmental Adjustment
 Clauses were run for a period outside the test year. The Companies agree that annual
 expenses for the Environmental Advertising Clauses appear twice in the test year and will
 agree to the AmerenCIPS gas operations adjustment of \$2,000 and the AmerenUE
 Illinois gas operations adjustment of \$500.
 - Q. Staff witness Ebrey also adjusts advertising expense for the Notice of Filings required by the Commission. Do you have any comments?
 - A. Ms. Ebrey reiterates in her rebuttal testimony that since the Notice of Filing expenses do not represent an on-going level of expense, they should be eliminated. Witness Ebrey failed to acknowledge the statement in my rebuttal testimony (page 18), that these Notices are required by the Commission and that the Companies should be allowed to recoup these costs. The fact that they are not representative of an on-going level of expense does not mean that they should be excluded from the Companies' cost of service. Again, at the very least, the cost of these expenses should be amortized as other rate case expenses, as these Notices of Filing are required by Commission rules.

Meter Reading Expense

- Q. Staff witness Lounsberry recommends a disallowance of approximately \$210,000 in Automated Meter Reading ("AMR") expenses. Do you have any response?
- A. Yes. Mr. Thomas Voss offers testimony demonstrating the propriety of the AMR system and explaining the relative cost savings and customer benefits. I address the error in the adjustment. The test year expense for AMR sought to be recovered in Account 902 is \$180,350. This amount is shown in AmerenUE Exhibit 27.7 and was provided in data request response UE-008. Mr. Lounsberry apparently relied upon a response to a different data request which reflected the payments made to CellNet in the test year, which were approximately \$210,000. (See Schedule 17.2 UE to Staff witness Lounsberry's second revised rebuttal testimony.) The difference in the amounts is due to an extra payment having been made. To restate, the test year expense for AMR is \$180,350.
- Q. Do you agree with AG witness Effron's assessment that AmerenUE incurred extraordinary expenses to effect the transition of a new billing system?
- A. No. In the Company's response to Staff Data Request UE-ENG-1.26, the Company states the additional costs were incurred to deliver exceptional service to the customers and to make the transition to the new billing system easier. As stated in my rebuttal testimony on page 20, the Company expects this level of expense to continue. A reduction to the current expense level would compromise the level of customer service the Company now provides to its customers. Therefore, the adjustment made by Mr. Effron should be rejected.

267	Customer Ro	ecords and Collection Expenses
268	Q.	Has the Company accepted AG witness Effron's adjustment to the
269	customer rec	cords and collection expenses of AmerenUE?
270	A.	Yes. While the Company continues to believe that the test year level of
271	customer reco	ords and collection expenses for AmerenUE is the appropriate level of
272	on-going exp	ense, the Company has decided to accept this adjustment to reduce expense
273	by \$130,000.	
274	Income Taxe	<u>es</u>
275	Q.	Has the Company accepted Staff witness Ebrey's method of
276	calculating i	ncome taxes?
277	A.	The Company does not necessarily agree that the method used is right or
278	wrong, but is	willing to accept this method in this case.
279	Q.	Have you provided a summary of the results of these analyses?
280	A.	AmerenCIPS Exhibit Nos. 27.2 through 27.6 and AmerenUE Exhibit
281	Nos. 27.2 thre	ough 27.6 provide a summary of the adjustments made to rate base and to
282	revenue requi	rements.
283	Q.	Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
284	Α.	Yes, it does.

AMEREN VRP BACKFILL ANNUAL LABOR EXPENSE POSITIONS FILLED BY JUNE 20, 2003

	Number	of Positions Filled	To Date	Number of Positions With Requistions To Be Filled							
Division	Backfill Positions	Average Salary	Total Annual Labor Cost	Backfill Positions	Average Salary	Total Annual Labor Cost					
SVP Division-Whiteley	3	\$74,500	\$223,500	0	\$74,500	\$0					
SVP Randolph Non-Nuclear Nuclear Total SVP Randolph	6 3 9	79,000 80,700	474,000 242,100 716,100	6 8	79,000 80,700	474,000 161,400 635,400					
SVP Voss	27	69,000	1,863,000	6	69,000	414,000					
SVP Agathen Information Technology Human Resources Total SVP Agathen	4 3 7	76,850 59,200	307,400 177,600 485,000	1 0 1	76,850 59,200	76,850 0 76,850					
AER Division - Cole	8	71,400	571,200	0	71,400	0					
SVP Baxter Controller's Treasurer's Total SVP Baxter	3 2 5	76,200 71,500	228,600 143,000 371,600	1 0 1	76,200 71,500	76,200 0 76,200					
General Counsel - Sullivan	1	72,100	72,100	0	72,100	0					
Total	60		\$4,302,500	16		\$1,202,450					
Allocated to AmerenCIPS Illinois	Gas (1)		\$171,255			\$47,862					
Allocated to AmerenUE Illinois G	as (1)		\$21,875			\$6,114					
(1) Estimated Total VRP Labor S	Savings		\$32,429,635								
Allocation of Total VRP Labor	r Savings to AmerenC	IPS Illinois Gas	1,290,815								
Percent of Total VRP Labor S	avings to AmerenCIP	S Illinois Gas	3.9804%								
Allocation of Total VRP Labor	Savings to AmerenU	E Illinois Gas	164,884								

0.5084%

Percent of Total VRP Labor Savings to AmerenUE Illinois Gas

AmerenCIPS SUMMARY OF ILLINOIS GAS RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL FILING TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 (\$000)

			Plant in	F	Reserve for	Mat	neral erials and			5	Gas Stored		Cash orking	lı	erred nfo. stem	Custo	mer	Cus	tomer	Pre- Invest	ment	De	umulated eferred ncome	Total Rate
Line			Service	De	oreciation	Sup	oplies	Pro	pane	Und	lerground	С	apital	Dev	velop.	Depo	sits	Adv	ances	Cre	dit		Taxes	Base
1		Balance - Per original filing	\$ 299,371	\$	(137,619)	\$	1,381	\$	147	\$	27,390	\$	8,558	\$	102	\$	(906)	\$	(717)	\$	(2)	\$	(21,144)	\$ 176,561
2		Adjustments to Original Filing																						
3	(1)	Remove future use plant - per staff adjustment	(94)																					(94)
4	(2)	Remove Richwood Storage Field from Plant &	(76)		18																			(58)
5		Reserve - (DR: CIPS-TEE-075)																						
6	(3)	Adjust M&S for amounts included with					(318)																	(318)
7		accounts payable - per staff adjustment																						
8		See AmerenCIPS/AmerenUE Exhibit 17.0																						
9	(4)	Reduce gas stored underground for balances									(165)													(165)
10		at Richwood Storage Field (DR:CIPS-TEE-075)																						
11	(5)	Reduce gas stored underground for balances at									(392)													(392)
12		Rotherwood Storage Field (DR:CIPS-ENG-1.33))																					
13	(6)	Cash Working Capital adjustment - See											(1,172)											(1,172)
14		AmerenCIPS/AmerenUE Exhibit 17.0																						
15	(7)	Use Customer Deposit 13 month average															218							218
16	,	per staff adjustment																						
17		Total Adjustments to Original Filing	(170)		18		(318)		-		(558)		(1,172)		-		218				-		-	(1,981)
18		Balance - Per rebuttal filing	299,201		(137,601)		1,063		147		26,832		7,386		102		(688)		(717)		(2)		(21,144)	174,581
19		Adjustment to Rebuttal Filing																						
20	(8)	Adjustment to Resultan Hing Adjustment to fully remove Richwood Storage			58																			58
21	(0)	Field Reserve for its retirement - (ICC			30																			30
22		Exhibit 16.0 - Schedule 16.3 CIPS, line 6)																						
23	(0)	Cash Working Capital adjustment - See											619											619
24	(9)	AmerenCIPS/AmerenUE Exhibit 31.0											019											019
25		Total Adjustments to Rebuttal Filing	-		58		-				-		619		-		-				-		-	677
26		Adjusted Balances	299,201		(137,543)		1,063		147		26,832		8,005		102		(688)		(717)		(2)		(21,144)	175,257

AmerenCIPS ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS EXPLANATION OF RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL FILING (\$000)

Line		Total Adjustments to Original Filing	Amount
1		Plant & Reserve	
2	(1)	Reduce plant in service for staff adjustment for future use plant	(94)
3 4	(2a)	Reduce plant in service to remove Richwood Storage field (DR: CIPS-TEE-075)	(76)
5		Total adjustments to Plant in Service	(170)
6 7	(2b)	Reduce reserve for depreciation to remove Richwood Storage field (DR:CIPS-TEE-075)	18
8 9	(8)	Reduce remaining reserve for depreciation for Richwood Storage field (ICC Exhibit 16.0 Schedule 16.3 CIPS, line 6)	58
10		Total adjustments to Reserve for Depreciation	76
11		Net plant in service adjustments	(94)
12		Other Rate Base Adjustments	
13	(3)	Adjustment materials & supplies balances for staff adjustment	(318)
14	(4)	for accounts payable - See AmerenCIPS Exhibit 17.0	(405)
15 16	(4)	Reduce gas stored underground for balances at Richwood Storage Field (DR:CIPS-TEE-075)	(165)
17	(5)	Reduce gas stored underground for balances at Rotherwood	(392)
18	(C)	Storage Field (DR:CIPS-ENG-1.33)	(4.470)
19 20	(0)	Adjustments to cash working capital - See AmerenCIPS Exhibit 17.0	(1,172)
21	(7)	Reduce customer deposit balance for staff adjustment for	218
22	(.,	13 month average balance	2.0
23 24	(9)	Additional adjustments to cash working capital - See AmerenCIPS Exhibit 31.0	619
- '		Exhibit 0110	
25		Total other adjustments to rate base	\$ (1,210)
26		Total rate base adjustments	\$ (1,304)

AmerenCIPS SUMMARY OF ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL FILING EXPENSES TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 (\$000)

					Sto	as rage nd			Customer	Customer		Admin. and	Depreciation and	Taxes,	Total Oper.
Line 1		Expenses - Per original filing	Prod \$	1.021	\$ Proce	1.701	\$ 1.013	Distribution \$ 13.907	Accounts \$ 4,190	Service \$ 133	Sales \$ 192	General 14,363	\$ 7.359	Other \$ 2,272	Expenses \$ 46,152
ı		Expenses - Fer original ming	Ψ	1,021	φ	1,701	φ 1,013	φ 15,907	\$ 4,190	φ 133	φ 132	. \$ 14,303	φ 1,339	φ 2,212	φ 40,132
2		Adjustments to Original Filing													
3	(1)	Decrease labor expense to reflect elimination		(20)		(7)	(15)	(115) (14)) (4)	(5	5) (60)			(239)
4	(0)	of 2003 salary increases for mgt employees		(45)		(40)	(40)	(000		. (0)		(470)			(4.004)
5 6	(2)	Decrease labor expense to reflect adjustment for VRP labor savings		(45)		(42)	(46)	(808)) (157) (9)	(11	(173)			(1,291)
7	(3)	Increase labor expense to reflect annual labor		8		7	8	137	27	1	2	2 29			219
8	(0)	for "backfill" positions for VRP		o		•	o o	107			-				210
9	(4)	Amortize additional costs other than labor										1,150			1,150
10	. ,	associated with the VRP over 3 years										•			•
11	(5)	Decrease interest on customer deposits to							(8))					(8)
12		reflect staff deposit balance and 1.5% rate													
13	(6)	Reduce charitable contributions-per staff										(23)			(23)
14	 `	adjustment										(=)			
15 16	(7)	Reduce membership dues - per staff										(5)			(5)
17	(8)	adjustment Decrease pension expense to reflect elimination										(50)			(50)
18	(0)	of Supplemental Excess Plan and the Deferred										(30)			(50)
19		Compensation-Survivor Benefits plan													
20	(9)	Adjust rate case expense amortization for										11			11
21	. ,	prior rate case exp. allocation method													
22	(10)	Decrease storage expense for Richwood				(6)									(6)
23		Storage field, which was removed from rate base													
24	(11)	Decrease depreciation expense to reflect											(1)		(1)
25		removal of Richwood Storage field from													
26 27	(12)	rate base (DR:CIPS-TEE-075) Decrease social security taxes for elimination of												(18)	(18)
28	(12)	2003 mgt. salary increases												(10)	(10)
29	(13)	Decrease social security taxes to reflect												(99)	(99)
30	(.0)	adjustment for VRP labor savings												(00)	(00)
31	(14)	Increase social security taxes to reflect "backfill"												17	17
32		positions adjustment for VRP													
33		Total Adjustments to Original Filing		(57)		(48)	(53)	(786) (152) (11)	(14	879	(1)	(100)	(344)
34		Expenses - per Rebuttal Filing		964		1,653	960	13,121	4,038	122	178	15,243	7,358	2,172	45,808
								•			·				
		Adjustments to Rebuttal Filing													
35	(15)	Adjust pension and OPEB expense to reflect										(267)			(267)
36 37	(16)	updated actuarial information Decrease labor expense to reflect adjustment		(0)		(4)	(2)	(82) (19) (0)	(()) (E)			(112)
38	(16)	to remove contract employee incentive comp.		(0)		(4)	(2,	(82) (19) (0)	(()) (5)			(112)
39	(17)	Decrease Cust. Service expense to reflect removal								(3)					(3)
40	(11)	of annual Environmental Adj. Clause advertising								(0)					(0)
41		which occurred twice in the test year													
42		Total Adjustments to Rebuttal Filing		(0)		(4)	(2)	(82) (19) (3)	(0	(273)	-	-	(381)
42		Adjusted Polonese		064		1 640	050	12.040	4.040	440	470	14.070	7.050	0.470	45 407
43		Adjusted Balances		964		1,649	958	13,040	4,019	119	178	14,970	7,358	2,172	45,427

AmerenCIPS ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS EXPLANATION OF OPERATIONS ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL AND REBUTTAL FILINGS (\$000)

Line	_	Total Adjustments to Original Filing	A	mount
1		Operating Evaposes		
1		Operating Expenses		
2	(1)	Decrease labor costs to reflect elimination of 2003 mgt. salary increases		(239)
3	(2)	Decrease labor costs to reflect adjustment for VRP labor savings		(1,291)
4	(3)	Increase labor expense to reflect adjustment for "backfill" positions		219
5		for VRP		
6	(4)	Increase administrative & general expense to include three year		1,150
7	(5)	amortization of add'l costs other than labor associated with the VRP		(0)
8	(5)	Decrease customer accounts expense for interest at 1.5%		(8)
9 10	(6)	on staff customer deposit balance Reduce administrative & general expense for staff adjustment		(23)
11	(0)	to charitable contributions		(23)
12	(7)	Reduce administrative & general expense for staff adjustment		(5)
13	(- /	to membership dues		(-)
14	(8)	Decrease pension expense for staff adjustment to eliminate supplemental		(50)
15		excess plan & deferred compensation-survivor benefits plan		
16	(9)	Adjust rate case expense amortization for unamortized prior rate case		11
17		expense allocation method approved in prior case		
18	(10)	Decrease storage expense for Richwood Storage field, which was		(6)
19	(45)	removed from rate base (DR:CIPS-TEE-075)		(007)
20 21		Adjust pension and OPEB expense to reflect updated actuarial information Decrease labor expense to reflect adjustment to remove contract employee		(267) (112)
22	(10)	incentive compensation		(112)
23	(17)	Decrease customer service expense to reflect removal of annual Environmental		(3)
24	(,	Adjustment Clause advertising expense which occurred twice in the test year		(-)
25		Total adjustments to Operating Expenses	\$	(624)
26		Depreciation and Amortization Expense		
27	(11)	Decrease depreciation expense to reflect removal of Richwood	\$	(1)
28	(,	Storage field from rate base	Ψ	(.,
		•		
29		Total adjustments to Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$	(1)
30		Taxes Other than Income Taxes		
31	(12)	Decrease social security tax for elimination of year 2003 management	\$	(18)
32		salary increases		
33	(13)	Decrease social security tax to reflect adjustment for VRP		(99)
34	(4.4)	labor savings		47
35 36	(14)	Increase social security tax to reflect "backfill" positions adjustment for VRP		17
37		Total adjustments to Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$	(100)
				/
38		Total expense adjustments	\$	(725)

AmerenCIPS RATE BASE AND REVENUE REQUIREMENT ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 (\$000)

Line	_		inal Filing mount	Adju	ıstments		ebuttal mount	Adju	stments	Current Amount		
	Original Cost Rate Base											
1	Original Cost of Property Devoted to Gas Operations	\$	299,371	\$	(170)	\$	299,201	\$	-	\$	299,201	
2	Reserves for Depreciation		137,619		(18)		137,601		(58)		137,543	
3	Net Original Cost of Property Devoted to Gas Operations		161,752		(152)		161,600		58		161,658	
4	Materials and Supplies		28,918		(875)		28,043		-		28,043	
5	Cash Working Capital		8,558		(1,172)		7,386		619		8,005	
6	Deferred Information System Development Cost		102		-		102		-		102	
7	Customer Deposits		(906)		218		(688)		-		(688)	
8	Customer Advances for Construction		(717)		-		(717)		-		(717)	
9	Pre-1971 Investment Tax Credit		(2)		-		(2)		-		(2)	
	Accumulated Deferred Taxes on Income											
10	Account 190		2,732		-		2,732		-		2,732	
11	Account 282		(22,602)		-		(22,602)		-		(22,602)	
12	Account 283		(1,274)		-		(1,274)				(1,274)	
13	Total Original Cost Rate Base	\$	176,561	\$	(1,981)	\$	174,581	\$	677	\$	175,257	
	Operating Expenses:											
14	Production	\$	1,021	\$	(57)	\$	964	\$	(0)	\$	964	
15	Gas Storage & Processing		1,701		(48)		1,653		(4)		1,649	
16	Transmission		1,013		(53)		960		(2)		958	
17	Distribution		13,907		(786)		13,121		(82)		13,040	
18	Customer Accounts		4,190		(152)		4,038		(19)		4,019	
19	Customer Service		133		(11)		122		(3)		119	
20	Sales		192		(14)		178		(0)		178	
21	Administrative and General		14,363		879		15,243		(273)		14,970	
22	Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses		36,521		(243)		36,279		(381)		35,897	
23	Depreciation and Amortization		7,359		(1)		7,358		-		7,358	
24	Taxes Other than Income Taxes		2,272		(100)		2,172		-		2,172	
25	Income Taxes-Based on Claimed Rate of Return											
26	Federal		6,656		(67)		6,588		23		6,611	
27	State - Illinois		1,498		(15)		1,482		5		1,488	
28	Deferred Income Taxes		(579)		-`´		(579)		-		(579)	
29	Total Income Taxes		7,575		(83)		7,492		28		7,520	
30	Return @ 9.451%		16,687		(187)		16,500		64		16,564	
31	Total Revenue Requirement Before Uncollectibles Gross-Up	\$	70,414	\$	(614)	\$	69,800	\$	(289)	\$	69,511	
32	Total Revenue Requirement After Uncollectibles Gross-Up	\$	70,578	\$	(620)	\$	69,958	\$	(292)	\$	69,666	
33	Operating Revenue (Pro Forma)		54,182				54,182				54,182	
34	Revenue Deficiency After Uncollectibles Gross-Up (Note)	\$	16,395	\$	(620)	\$	15,775	\$	(292)	\$	15,483	
	Note:					_		_		_		
35	Revenue Deficiency Before Uncollectibles Gross-Up (line 31		16,231	\$	(614)	\$	15,618	\$	(289)	\$	15,328	
36	Gross Up with 1.00% Uncollectibles Rate (line 35 x 0.01/(1-0.		164		(6)	_	158		(3)	_	155	
37	Revenue Deficiency After Uncollectibles Gross-Up (line	\$	16,395	\$	(620)	\$	15,775	\$	(292)	\$	15,483	

AmerenUE

SUMMARY OF ILLINOIS GAS RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL FILING TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

(\$000)

						General					Ca	ash					Pre-1	1971	Accui	mulated		
		Pla	ant	Reserve	for	Materials			Gas	Stored	Wor	rking	Custo	omer	Custo	omer	Invest	tment	Def	erred	•	Total
Line		in Se	rvice	Deprecia	tion	& Supplies	Pre	opane	Unde	rground	Ca	pital	Depo	osits	Adva	nces	Tax C	Credit	Incom	e Taxes	Ra	te Base
1	Balance - Per original filing	\$:	32,088	\$ (15,	977)	\$ 47	\$	156	\$	1,547	\$	928	\$	(51)	\$	(147)	\$	(13)	\$	(1,734)	\$	16,844
2 3 (1) 4 5 6 (2) 7	Adjustments to Original Filing Adjust M&S for amounts included with accounts payable - per staff adjustment See AmerenCIPS/AmerenUE Exhibit 17.0 Cash Working Capital adjustment - See AmerenCIPS/AmerenUE Exhibit 17.0					(11)					(88)										(11) (88)
8 (3) 9	Use Customer Deposit 13 month average per staff adjustment													5								5
10	Total Adjustments to Original Filing		-			(11)	-		-		(88)		5						-		(93)
11	Balance - Per rebuttal filing	;	32,088	(15,	977)	36	-	156		1,547		840		(46)		(147)		(13)		(1,734)		16,751
12 13 (4) 14	Adjustment to Rebuttal Filing Cash Working Capital adjustment See AmerenCIPS/AmerenUE Exhibit 31.0											15										15
15	Total Adjustments to Rebuttal Filing				-	-	_			-		15										16,766
16	Adjusted Balances	;	32,088	(15,	977)	36		156		1,547		855		(46)		(147)		(13)		(1,734)		16,766

AmerenUE ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS EXPLANATION OF RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL FILING (\$000)

Line		Total Adjustments to Original Filing	Am	ount
1		Other Rate Base Adjustments		
2	(1)	Adjustment materials & supplies balances for staff adjustment		(11)
3		for accounts payable - See AmerenUE Exhibit 17.0		
4	(2)	Adjustments to cash working capital - See AmerenUE		(88)
5		Exhibit 17.0		
6	(3)	Reduce customer deposit balance for staff adjustment for		5
7		13 month average balance		
8	(4)	Additional adjustments to cash working capital - See AmerenUE		15
9	()	Exhibit 31.0		
10		Total other adjustments to rate base	\$	(78)
11		Total rate base adjustments	\$	(78)

AmerenUE SUMMARY OF ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL FILING EXPENSES TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 (\$000)

Line	_	Production	Transmission	Distribution	Customer Accounts	Customer Service	Sales	Admin. & General	Depreciation & Amortization	Taxes, Other	Total Oper. Expenses
1	Expenses - Per original filing	\$ 244	\$ 53	\$ 1,562	\$ 1,089	\$ 108	\$ 12	\$ 2,327	\$ 756	\$ 181	\$ 6,331
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Adjustments to Original Filing (1) Decrease labor expense to reflect elimination of 2003 salary increases for mgt. employees (2) Decrease labor expense to reflect adjustment for VRP labor savings (3) Increase labor expense to reflect annual labor for "backfill" positions for VRP (4) Amortize additional costs other than labor associated with the VRP over 3 years	(4) (9) 2	(1) (2) 0		(3) (22) 4		(0) (1) 0	(12) (32) 5 122			(32) (165) 28 122
11 12 13 14	 (5) Decrease pension expense to reflect elimination of Supplemental Excess Plan and the Deferred Compensation-Survivor Benefits plan (6) Adjust rate case expense amortization for 							(11) (11)			(11)
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prior rate case exp. allocation method (7) Decrease social security taxes for elimination of 2003 mgt. salary increases (8) Decrease social security taxes to reflect adjustment for VRP labor savings (9) Increase social security taxes to reflect "backfill" positions adjustment for VRP									(2) (13) 2	
22	Total Adjustments to Original Filing	(12)	(3)	(88)	(21)) (6)	(1)	62		(13)	(82)
23	Expenses - per Rebuttal Filing	232	50	1,474	1,068	101	10	2,389	756	168	6,249
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Adjustments to Rebuttal Filing (10) Adjust pension and OPEB expense to reflect updated actuarial information (11) Decrease labor expense to reflect adjustment to remove contract employee incentive comp. (12) Decrease Cust. Service expense to reflect removal of annual Environmental Adj. Clause advertising (13) Decrease Cust. Records & Coll. expense to reflect adj. of Attorney General (AG Exhibit 1.0P UE - Schedule C-1, line 7)	(0)	0	(10)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(131)			(131) (15) (0) (130)
34	Total Adjustments to Rebuttal Filing	(0)		(10)	(133)) (1)	(0)	(132)			(276)
35	Adjusted Balances	232	50	1,463	935	100	10	2,258	756	168	5,973

AmerenUE ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS EXPLANATION OF OPERATIONS ADJUSTMENTS TO ORIGINAL FILING (\$000)

Line		Adjustments to Original Filing	 mount
1		Operating Expenses	
2	(1)	Decrease labor costs to reflect elimination of 2003 mgt. salary increases	\$ (32)
3		Decrease labor costs to reflect adjustment for VRP labor savings	(165)
4 5	(3)	Increase labor expense to reflect adjustment for "backfill" positions for VRP	28
6	(4)	Increase administrative & general expense to include three year	122
7		amortization of add'l costs other than labor associated with the VRP	
8 9	(5)	Decrease pension expense for staff adjustment to eliminate supplemental excess plan & deferred compensation-survivor benefits plan	(11)
10	(6)	Adjust rate case expense amortization for unamortized prior rate case	(11)
11	(0)	expense allocation method approved in prior case	(11)
12	(10)	Adjust pension and OPEB expense to reflect updated actuarial information	(131)
13	` '	Decrease labor expense to reflect adjustment to remove contract employee	`(15)
14	` ,	incentive compensation	,
15	(12)	Decrease customer service expense to reflect removal of annual Environmental	(0)
16		Adjustment Clause advertising expense which occurred twice in the test year	
17	(13)	Decrease customer accounts expense for Customer Records and Collection	(130)
18		adjustment of the Attorney General (AG Exhibit 1.0P UE: Schedule C-1, line 7)	
19		Total adjustments to Operating Expenses	\$ (345)
20		Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	
21	(7)	Decrease social security tax for elimination of year 2003 management	\$ (2)
22		salary increases	
23	(8)	Decrease social security tax to reflect adjustment for VRP	(13)
24		labor savings	_
25 26	(9)	Increase social security tax to reflect "backfill" positions adjustment for VRP	2
27		Total adjustments to Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$ (13)
28		Total expense adjustments	\$ (358)

AmerenUE RATE BASE AND REVENUE REQUIREMENT ILLINOIS GAS OPERATIONS TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 (\$000)

Line	_		inal Filing Amount	Adju	stments		ebuttal mount	Adju	stments	Current Amount		
	Original Cost Rate Base											
1	Original Cost of Property Devoted to Gas Operations	\$	32,088	\$	-	\$	32,088	\$	-	\$	32,088	
2 3	Reserves for Depreciation Net Original Cost of Property Devoted to Gas Operations		15,977 16,111		-		15,977 16,111		-		15,977 16,111	
4	Materials and Supplies		1,750		(11)		1,739		-		1,739	
5	Cash Working Capital		928		(88)		840		15		855	
6	Customer Deposits		(51)		5		(46)		-		(46)	
7	Customer Advances for Construction		(147)		-		(147)		-		(147)	
8	Pre-1971 Investment Tax Credit		(13)		-		(13)		-		(13)	
	Accumulated Deferred Taxes on Income											
9	Account 190		341		-		341		-		341	
10	Account 282		(2,074)		-		(2,074)		-		(2,074)	
11	Total Original Cost Rate Base	\$	16,844	\$	(93)	\$	16,751	\$	15	\$	16,766	
	Operating Expenses:											
12	Production	\$	244	\$	(12)	\$	232	\$	(0)	\$	232	
13	Transmission		53		(3)		50		-		50	
14	Distribution		1,562		(88)		1,474		(10)		1,463	
15	Customer Accounts		1,089		(21)		1,068		(133)		935	
16	Customer Service		108		(6)		101		(1)		100	
17	Sales		12		(1)		10		(0)		10	
18	Administrative and General		2,327		62		2,389		(132)		2,258	
19	Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses		5,394		(69)		5,325		(276)		5,049	
20	Depreciation and Amortization		756		-		756		-		756	
21	Taxes Other than Income Taxes		181		(13)		168		-		168	
	Income Taxes-Based on Claimed Rate of Return											
22	Federal		844		(4)		840		1		840	
23	State - Illinois		190		(1)		189		0		189	
24	Deferred Income Taxes		(222)				(222)				(222)	
25	Total Income Taxes		811		(5)		806		1		807	
26	Return @ 10.029%		1,689		(9)		1,680		2		1,681	
27	Total Revenue Requirement Before Uncollectibles Gross-Up	\$	8,832	\$	(96)	\$	8,735	\$	(274)	\$	8,461	
28	Total Revenue Requirement After Uncollectibles Gross-Up	\$	8,906	\$	(98)	\$	8,808	\$	(279)	\$	8,528	
29	Operating Revenue (Pro Forma)		5,134				5,134				5,134	
30	Revenue Deficiency After Uncollectibles Gross-Up (Note)	\$	3,772	\$	(98)	\$	3,674	\$	(279)	\$	3,394	
	Note:											
31	Revenue Deficiency Before Uncollectibles Gross-Up (line 27 - line 29)	\$	3,698	\$	(96)	\$	3,601	\$	(274)	\$	3,328	
32	Gross-Up with 1.97% Uncollectibles Rate (line 31 x 0.0197/(1-0.0197))	Ψ.	74	Ψ	(2)	Ψ	72	Ψ	(6)	Ψ	67	
33	Revenue Deficiency After Uncollectibles Gross-Up (line 31 + line 32)	\$	3,772	\$	(98)	\$	3,674	\$	(279)	\$	3,394	

Exhibit UE-008 A

NON-PAYROLL OPERATIONS AND MAINTAINENANCE EXPENSES $(000) \mbox{s of \$)}$

Utility: <u>AmerenUE</u>

Individual Responsible: Thomas G. Opich

Period Reported: Calendar Years 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2001, 12 Months Ended June 30, 2002

Line No.	Description	Account Number	Una	djusted Test Year		2001		2000		1999		1998
	(A)	(B)		(C)		(D)		(E)		(F)		(G)
1	Gas Production Operations:											
2	Producer Gas Expenses	714	\$	0.02	\$	0.02	\$	0.02	\$	_	\$	0.04
3	Liquified Petroleum Gas Expenses	717	Ψ	-	Ψ	2.05	Ψ	0.45	Ψ	0.22	Ψ	0.12
4	Liquified Petroleum Gas	728		10.78		6.31		2.00		6.50		0.12
5	Miscellaneous Production Expenses	735		10.70		(0.14)		0.25		-		1.34
6	Total	755		10.80		8.25		2.73		6.72		1.50
7	Manufactured Gas Maintenance:			10.00		0.20		2.70		0.72		1.00
8	Supervision & Engineering	740		_		0.14		_		_		_
9	Structures and Improvements	741		19.14		19.39		69.22		1.58		0.03
10	Production Equipment	742		2.98		3.22		152.11		2.86		3.43
11	Total			22.13		22.75		221.33		4.44		3.45
12	Other Gas Supply:											
13	Natural Gas City Gate Purchases	804		9,132.41		13,045.26	1	3,257.94		7,704.21		6,141.56
14	Purchased Gas Expense	807		93.98		67.28		32.19		41.16		41.13
15	Gas Withdrawn from Underground	808		290.79		(298.94)		(794.96)		(276.44)		88.21
16	Total			9,517.18		12,813.60	1	2,495.17		7,468.92		6,270.89
17	Transmission - Operations:											
18	Supervision & Engineering	850		0.72		0.58		0.19		0.16		0.20
19	Load Dispatching	851		2.01		3.14		0.91		0.96		-
20	Measuring & Regulating Expenses	857		8.21		8.16		2.88		3.66		5.33
21	Other Expenses	859		5.11		4.64		1.87		2.27		3.08
22	Total			16.06		16.52		5.85		7.05		8.60
23	Transmission - Maintenance:											
24	Supervision & Engineering	861		3.38		2.78		2.67		2.42		2.52
25	Mains	863		-		-		-		-		1.17
26	Measuring & Regulating Expenses	865		1.75		1.00		0.28		0.05		-
27	Other Equipment	867		-		0.54		-		-		0.74
28	Total			5.13		4.32		2.95		2.47		4.42
29	Distribution - Operations:											
30	Supervision & Engineering	870		13.29		14.24		5.42		19.53		12.00
31	Mains and Services	874		54.08		67.52		5.27		2.56		1.99
32	Measuring & Regulation Station - General	875		12.19		12.15		6.12		9.36		6.69
33	Measuring & Regulation Station - Industrial	876		-		-		-		0.64		2.92
34	Measuring & Regulation Station - City Gate	877		0.11		4.04		5.97		1.26		-
35	Meters and House Regulator Expenses	878		(93.02)		(30.90)		(51.53)		111.45		(213.69)
36	Customer Installation	879		45.83		45.43		97.98		46.64		42.77
37	Other Expenses	880		138.18		136.20		206.78		145.58		113.22
38	Rents	881		10.23		0.26		0.67		0.67		1.00
39	Total			180.90		248.92		276.68		337.67		(33.11)
40	Distribution - Maintenance:											
41	Supervision & Engineering	885	\$	0.19	\$	0.21	\$	0.10	\$	0.16	\$	0.47
42	Mains	887		68.19		61.98		49.26		31.65		22.68
43	Measuring & Regulation Station - General	889		0.60		5.15		13.67		10.42		10.74

Exhibit UE-008 A

NON-PAYROLL OPERATIONS AND MAINTAINENANCE EXPENSES $(000) \mbox{s of \$)}$

Utility: AmerenUE

Individual Responsible: Thomas G. Opich

Period Reported: Calendar Years 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2001, 12 Months Ended June 30, 2002

Line No.	Description	Account Number	Una	djusted Test Year	2001	2000	1999	1998
	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	 (G)
44	Measuring & Regulation Station - Industrial	890		0.18	0.75	0.93	-	0.05
45	Measuring & Regulation Station - City Gate	891		0.01	-	0.41	1.01	0.41
46	Services	892		8.10	17.67	19.78	30.08	27.83
47	Meters and House Regulators	893		35.06	104.64	41.10	55.61	62.69
48	Other Equipment	894		17.55	 16.92	21.73	19.07	17.23
49	Total			129.87	207.32	146.97	148.00	142.11
50	Customer Accounts:							
51	Supervision	901		2.11	2.17	0.56	0.34	1.57
52	Meter Reading	902		180.35	223.21	77.90	77.58	19.34
53	Customer Records & Collection Expenses	903		204.44	178.81	30.80	37.87	49.25
54	Uncollectible Accounts	904		394.00	732.00	108.00	79.10	108.00
55	Miscellaneous Expenses	905		7.96	6.57	7.71	6.79	10.00
56	Total			788.86	1,142.75	224.97	201.67	188.17
57	Customer Service & Information:							
58	Supervision	907		-	-	-	-	-
59	Customer Assistance Expenses	908		1.85	15.14	1.33	2.02	3.82
60	Informational & Instructional Advertising	909		0.43	0.33	0.19	0.68	2.32
61	Miscellaneous Expenses	910		4.43	6.36	1.38	1.92	3.62
62	Total			6.72	21.82	2.90	4.62	9.76
63	Sales:							
64	Demonstration and Selling	912		3.25	 3.40	 2.23	2.56	 7.05
65	Total			3.25	3.40	2.23	2.56	7.05
66	Administrative & General - Operations:							
67	Supervision	920		145.24	150.77	94.99	103.34	145.67
68	Office Supplies	921		105.29	77.66	46.89	88.18	135.69
69	Outside Services	923		128.48	136.77	177.36	143.92	291.89
70	Injuries and Damages	925		87.78	86.65	8.96	(29.48)	(15.94)
71	Employees Welfare Expenses	926		751.99	425.57	422.93	363.75	406.37
72	Regulatory Commission Expenses	928		1.38	2.69	0.74	6.91	43.34
73	Duplicate Charges	929		(3.57)	(4.81)	(4.15)	(2.27)	(2.36)
74	General Expenses	930		26.76	22.06	18.42	24.49	30.44
75	Rents	931		215.92	 191.03	144.94	81.18	 107.73
76	Total			1,459.26	1,088.40	911.09	780.01	1,142.81
77	Administrative & General - Maintanenance	935		12.33	15.27	8.39	14.63	23.69
78	Total Non-Payroll O&M Expenses		\$	12,152.49	\$ 15,593.33	\$ 14,301.25	\$ 8,978.76	\$ 7,769.34
79	Total Less Accounts 804-808		\$	2,635.31	\$ 2,779.73	\$ 1,806.08	\$ 1,509.84	\$ 1,498.45